# Retail Trade Analysis Report Fiscal Year 2017

#### Wellman



# Iowa State University Department of Economics

#### Contents:

10-Year Sales Summary	2
Local Economic Conditions	3-4
Peer Group Analysis	5-6
Pull Factor Analysis	7-8
Regional Competition	9-11
Historical Trends	12
Sales by Business Group	13-15
Consumer Characteristics	16-17
Data Notes	18-22
Frequently-Asked Questions	23

#### About Wellman:

- Wellman is located within Washington County, Iowa.
- Wellman is part of the Iowa City, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area.
- Wellman recorded a total population of 1,408 residents in the 2010 Census, including 47 residents in group quarters such as skilled nursing facilities and group homes.

#### Overview

This report examines local retail sales and related economic trends in Wellman, Iowa, using a variety of comparative performance measures.

The retail analysis is based on state-reported sales of goods and services that are subject to Iowa's statewide sales tax. Please refer to the Data Notes section for detailed information about the types of retail activity included in taxable sales. The data notes also include definitions and guidelines for interpreting retail measures and other indicators in this report.

Except where otherwise noted, retail sales data for preceding years have been adjusted for inflation and are stated in Fiscal Year 2017 dollar equivalents. The 2017 fiscal year began on July 1, 2016, and ended on June 30, 2017.


### Key Retail Indicators for Wellman

Wellman	FY2016	FY2017	% Change
Real total taxable sales (\$)	14,793,574	13,928,564	-5.8% <b>▼</b>
Number of reporting firms (annualized)	85	82	-3.5% ▼
Population	1,432	1,435	0.2%
Average sales per capita (\$)	10,331	9,706	-6.0% <b>▼</b>
Average sales per firm (\$)	174,042	170,903	-1.8% <b>▼</b>


No distinctions are made between households and group quarters residents in the calculation of per capita sales and related indicators.

# 10-Year Summary Retail Sales Tax Statistics

#### Real Total Taxable Sales in Wellman



### Annualized Number of Reporting Firms in Wellman



#### Taxable Retail Sales Per Capita



### **Local Economic Trends**

#### **Population**

Population change is a key factor influencing local retail sales performance. From one year to the next, area population gains or losses alter the number of potential shoppers in the region. In the longer term, population trends reflect the general economic climate of the region. Population growth suggests a more favorable retail environment, while population decline may be an indication of area economic stress.

The top chart at right shows annual population estimates for Wellman, Washington County and the state indexed to baseline values from ten years ago. The population in any given year is expressed in percentage terms compared to the base year population.


The middle chart at right compares population change in Wellman to the trend for similarly-sized cities in Iowa. See Pages 20-22 for a list of cities included in the peer group for Wellman.

#### **Average Wages**

The local demand for retail goods and services also depends on the income level of area residents. Major sources of personal income include wages and salaries, returns to proprietors, investment income, and government transfer payments. Wages and salaries comprise the majority of personal income and provide the most stable indicator of local conditions. The chart at right illustrates recent, inflation-adjusted average earnings per wage and salary job in Washington County and the state.


#### **Population Trends**

(Annual estimates as a percentage of 2008 population)




#### **Population Trend for Peer Cities**

(Annual estimates as a percentage of 2008 population)



#### Real Wages and Salaries Per Job (\$)



#### **Employment**

Area job growth creates earnings opportunities for current residents and also helps to attract new residents to the region. Conversely, lagging employment growth rates may indicate a decline in the region's competitive strength.

The chart at top right shows the 10year trend in wage and salary employment in Washington County. Each year's employment, which counts full-time and parttime jobs equally, is expressed as a percentage of baseline year employment. The statewide trend is included for comparison.


The middle chart shows more recent job gains and losses in Washington County. The chart illustrates the percentage gain or loss in jobs during Fiscal Year 2017 on a month-by-month basis, with each month's employment compared to the same month in the prior fiscal year.

#### Unemployment


Rising or persistently high levels of unemployment may contribute to household economic stress within the region and may ultimately reduce aggregate household spending levels.

The chart at right shows recent Washington County and statewide unemployment rate trends. The unemployment rate is defined as the percentage of the labor force that is unemployed but actively seeking work.


### Employment Trends



#### **Recent Job Gains or Losses: Washington County**



# Unemployment Rate (Unemployed percentage of the labor force)



### Peer Group Analysis

Iowa's 946 cities vary in the level and types of retail activity they can support. A given city's retail prospects depend not only on its own population size, but also on the urbanization patterns and competitive characteristics of the surrounding area. With no two of Iowa's cities exactly alike in these respects, how might a particular community benchmark its own retail performance? Peer group analysis, which involves comparisons among a group of cities sharing similar characteristics, can provide a reasonable basis for evaluating local retail performance.


In general, retail sector size and diversity tends to increase with community size and population density of the surrounding area. Metropolitan cities, for example, have access to a large pool of potential customers living within a geographically concentrated area, allowing them to offer a wider range of retail goods and services than most smaller communities can support. The diversity of their retail offerings tends to attract non-resident shoppers from a broad geographic area, often at the expense of smaller communities in outlying areas. In contrast, small communities located in remote, rural locations tend to have retail sectors that serve primarily local markets.

This retail analysis report assigns all cities in Iowa to peer groups based on their population size and the urbanization characteristics of their host county. The peer groups are listed in the following table, with the relevant peer group for Wellman highlighted in blue (see Pages 20-22 for a complete list of member cities by peer group). The chart at the bottom of this page illustrates the comparative sales performance for all of the city peer groups during Fiscal Year 2017.

#### **Peer Group Definitions**

Peer Group	City Population Size	Metropolitan Status of the County	Number of Cities	% of State Taxable Sales
reel Gloup	City ropulation size	Wetropolitan Status of the County	Of Cities	Taxable Jales
Group 1	10,000 or greater	Core county of a metropolitan statistical area (MSA)	21	60.1%
Group 2	10,000 or greater	Non-core MSA county or non-metropolitan county	17	12.5%
Group 3	2,500 to 9,999	Non-metropolitan county	62	11.8%
Group 4	2,500 to 9,999	Metropolitan county	33	5.2%
Group 5N	500 to 2,499	Non-metropolitan county, not adjacent to a MSA	102	2.7%
Group 5A	500 to 2,499	Non-metropolitan county, adjacent to a MSA	117	2.7%
Group 6	500 to 2,499	Metropolitan county	105	2.6%
Group 7	250 to 499	Any county	176	1.0%
Rest of State		Any county		1.5%

#### Average Sales Per Capita by City Peer Group, FY 2017




#### Expected Range for Local Sales Per Capita

The chart at right compares sales levels in Wellman to a range of "expected," or typical, values for cities in its peer group.

The blue rectangles illustrate the range of expected values, defined as any value between the 25th to the 75th percentile values for the peer group in each year.

The red dashes show the actual per capita sales performance by Wellman.

In Fiscal Year 2017, per capita sales in Wellman were above the expected range.




#### Top 10 Peer Group Cities Ranked by Sales Per Capita

Among the 103 peer cities reporting data in the most recent fiscal year, Wellman ranked number 22 in per capita sales.

The peer group's top performers, measured by their average sales per capita in Fiscal Year 2017, are listed in the chart at right.

Sales levels in some cities may be inflated by the presence of a regional utility or other regional retail anomaly that may not be replicable in other communities. In general, values exceeding the peer group average by two or more standard deviations should be viewed with caution. Any such cities are indicated at right with an asterisk (\*).

#### Average Sales Per Capita (\$), FY2017



See Pages 20-22 for a complete listing of cities by peer group.

### **Pull Factor Analysis**

This section introduces three related measures for assessing retail sales performance: trade surplus or leakage, trade area capture, and the pull factor ratio. All three measures are based on a hypothetical "self-sufficiency" level of sales at which the city's retail sector satisfies all of the retail needs of its own residents. This hypothetical sales value might also be viewed as "breakeven" level where any sales lost from non-local spending by residents are exactly offset by sales to non-residents.

#### Trade Surplus or Leakage

Trade surplus or leakage measures the dollar difference between the city's actual sales and the total sales it could generate if residents satisfied all their retail needs locally, i.e. its self-sufficiency or breakeven sales level. Sales above the breakeven level imply a net surplus arising from sales to non-residents. Leakage, or sales below the breakeven level, suggests that local residents' spending outside the city exceeds local firms' sales to non-residents.


Below are trade surplus or leakage estimates for Wellman. To estimate the breakeven level of sales, the dollar amount of statewide average per capita spending on taxable goods and services is adjusted up or down by a factor that reflects local income characteristics, and is then multiplied by the city's population size. The breakeven sales target represents an estimate of Wellman residents' total spending on taxable goods and services that are purchased anywhere within Iowa.

Wellman Breakeven Analysis	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17
Statewide average per capita spending (\$)	12,453	12,408	11,626	11,709	11,951	11,809	11,935	12,331	12,490	12,413
x Local income adjustment	0.97	0.97	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
= Average spending (anywhere) by residents (\$)	12,035	11,980	11,214	11,283	11,504	11,356	11,465	11,834	11,974	11,889
x City population estimate	1,421	1,418	1,413	1,414	1,419	1,421	1,428	1,433	1,432	1,435
= Breakeven sales target (\$000s)	17,102	16,988	15,845	15,954	16,324	16,137	16,372	16,958	17,147	17,060
City actual sales (\$000s)	10,748	10,954	9,899	10,824	11,980	12,197	13,217	14,099	14,794	13,929
Surplus estimate (\$000s)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Leakage estimate (\$000s)	(6,355)	(6,035)	(5,947)	(5,129)	(4,344)	(3,940)	(3,155)	(2,859)	(2,354)	(3,131)

#### Trade Area Capture

The extent of a city's "trade area" can be approximated by estimating the number of customers whose annual retail needs it satisfies. If that number exceeds the resident population, the city's geographic trade area likely extends beyond its borders. If below, the city's trade area likely overlaps or is subsumed by that of a nearby community.

Trade area capture is estimated by dividing the city's actual total sales by the expected average, annual retail requirements of its residents. The chart at right illustrates the city's trade area capture in relation to its population size.



#### The Pull Factor Ratio

A city's pull factor ratio is calculated by dividing its trade area capture measure by its resident population.

A pull factor ratio equal to 1.0 suggests that the city's merchants are just satisfying the retail demands of local residents. This is equivalent to the "break even" sales level where the city is experiencing neither a surplus or leakage of sales.

A pull factor ratio greater than 1.0 suggests that the city's merchants are attracting shoppers from outside the city. For example, a city whose retail customer base is 25 percent larger than its population would have a pull factor of 1.25.

A pull factor ratio less than 1.0 indicates that the city's retail sector cannot satisfy all of the retail needs of its own residents.

Pull factor ratios may vary widely from one city to the next, even among cities in the same peer group. For any particular city, a comparison with the peer group's median pull factor value provides a reasonable performance benchmark.

The chart below shows recent trends in pull factor ratios for Wellman and its peer group. The city's pull factor values are indicated with red circles.


The blue dashes indicate the median pull factor for the peer group in each year. If the city's pull factor exceeds the group median, it ranks among the top half of its peer group. If its pull factor is below the median value, then it ranks among the bottom half of cities in its peer group.

Caution is urged in the interpretation of pull factors, especially for smaller communities.

For example, a high pull factor doesn't necessarily indicate retail self-sufficiency across all categories of retail sales. A city's pull factor could be inflated by the presence of one or more retail establishments that serve as a regional draw in a particular sales category, even if the city is experiencing substantial leakage of sales in other retail categories.

Similarly, a low pull factor does not necessarily suggest untapped sales potential in the local retail sector. Most small cities should expect to lose a at least a fraction of their residents' spending to larger trade centers.

#### **Pull Factor Comparison With Peer Group**



### **Regional Competition**


Communities within a region compete with each other for shares of overall regional economic activity. This section explores some of the competitive forces at work in the area surrounding Wellman. First, the distribution of trade among cities in Washington County is assessed. Next, important interactions with surrounding cities and counties are examined using data on worker commuting flows. Finally, retail trade patterns in the broader region are illustrated by comparing average per capita sales and pull factor ratios for nearby cities and counties.


#### Role Within the County

The relative contributions of Wellman as a trade and population center within Washington County are illustrated at right. The left-most chart shows the percentage of Washington County taxable sales occurring within the city of Wellman. The right-most chart displays the percentage of Washington County residents who live within Wellman.

# of Washington County Totals

**Wellman Percentage Shares** 





# Other Trade and Population Centers Within the County

The table at right lists cities in Washington County with reported taxable sales activity during Fiscal Year 2017. Data for cities with 10 or fewer permit-holders filing sales tax returns are suppressed. Sales amounts for those smaller jurisdictions are included within the "other areas in county" values.

Amounts shown for each city reflect the population and reported sales for the city as a whole, regardless of whether it crosses into a neighboring county. Any cities with reporting firms that fall within a neighboring county are indicated with an asterisk (\*), and the neighboring county's portion of sales, if any, are noted below the table.

# Washington County Jurisdictions Reporting Taxable Retail Sales in FY 2017

		Average	Sales
Area Name	Population	# Filers	\$millions
Washington Total	22,195	773	195.9
Ainsworth	587	36	3.7
Brighton	660	28	1.9
Crawfordsville	274	18	0.5
Kalona	2,530	187	49.1
Riverside	1,047	74	23.8
Washington	7,412	318	99.0
Wellman	1,435	82	13.9
West Chester	150	11	1.5
Other areas in Washington County		21	2.6

#### **Commuting Patterns**


Regional commuting flows represent possible sources of sales surplus or leakage for the local retail sector. Worker inflows from neighboring communities help to expand the potential customer base. When residents commute elsewhere for work, the likelihood that they will shop locally, especially during traditional business hours, decreases.

#### **Wellman Commuting Summary, 2015**

The figure at right compares the relative magnitude of worker flows into and from Wellman in 2015. The city had an estimated net commuting flow of -278 wage and salary workers. The net flow is the difference between inflows of people employed in Wellman but living elsewhere and outflows of Wellman residents who are employed in some other city or county.

The likelihood of a given resident out-commuting from Wellman was 94.0% 2015. The average rate for similarly-sized cities was 93.7%. Those out-commuting rates represent the percentage of residents in wage and salary jobs who commute to work somewhere outside their residence city.

# Estimated Worker Commuting Flows To and From Wellman




Note: Values of 5 or fewer are rounded up to 5

#### Key Commuting Relationships for Wellman: Top 3 Sources and Destinations of Workers

Worker commuting patterns also reveal broader regional relationships that influence local economic conditions.

The chart at right identifies the top three workplace destinations for Wellman residents and the top three cities supplying the greatest number of Wellman workers in 2015. The chart measures these flows as percentages of the city's total workforce size and total employment, respectively.




NOTE: The commuting charts on this page are based on 2015 worker commuting flow data published by the U.S. Census Bureau. In cases of small place-to-place commuting flows, the Census Bureau masks the data in order to protect the confidentiality of individual workers and/or business firms. Therefore, the actual size and destinations of the city's commuting flows may differ slightly from those shown here.

#### **Regional Trade Patterns**

Regional shopping patterns may be inferred from relative trade levels in surrounding counties and cities. The graphics on this page illustrate which counties and cities in the region serve as regional magnets for retail trade activity.


The map at right illustrates county retail pull factors for Fiscal Year 2017 (see Page 8 for a definition of pull factors). The counties with a pull factor exceeding 1.0, identified in the map with large blue dots, are likely exerting a strong retail influence on trade centers in neighboring counties. Counties with pull factors below 1.0 are leaking sales on a county-wide basis, but might still contain one or more strong local trade centers.

#### **County Pull Factors, Fiscal Year 2017**



The bar graph below compares Fiscal Year 2017 per capita sales in Wellman to average sales in neighboring communities with 500 or more residents. The comparison group includes the ten communities nearest to Wellman, as measured from the center of each city. The cities are listed from left to right in descending order by their average per capita sales. Population sizes for each city, as of the 2010 Census, are also indicated.

#### Neighboring Community Comparison of Per Capita Retail Sales



# Historical Trends in Taxable Sales

Historical retail sales statistics for Wellman and the State of Iowa are presented below. Real total taxable sales and real average sales per firm and per capita have been adjusted for inflation and are shown in Fiscal Year 2017-equivalent dollars.

\*\*NOTE: Values for Fiscal Year 2009 and later measure retail activity during a July 1-June 30 fiscal year period. Values for Fiscal Years 2008 and earlier were compiled on an April 1-March 31 fiscal year basis.

#### **Historical Statistics for Wellman:**

		Total Tax	cable Sales (\$)	Real Aver	age Sales (\$)	Statewide Re	al Average (\$)
Fiscal Year	Reporting Firms	Nominal	Real	Per Firm	Per Capita	Per Firm	Per Capita
1976	65	5,263,315	18,862,269	289,077	17,879	375,717	10,665
1977	64	6,096,552	20,657,424	324,038	19,145	387,365	11,293
1978	67	6,286,500	19,969,166	298,047	18,170	381,649	11,544
1979	69	7,128,417	21,013,134	306,761	18,829	387,066	12,060
1980	68	7,643,933	20,439,630	300,583	18,152	379,678	12,026
1981	66	6,914,452	16,788,684	255,341	14,870	337,884	10,921
1982	62	7,218,807	16,395,881	266,600	14,510	324,893	10,510
1983	66	7,771,218	16,830,311	255,974	14,974	315,827	10,389
1984	64	7,293,733	15,179,763	237,184	13,541	309,341	10,303
1985	63	6,868,142	13,805,828	218,274	12,305	305,902	10,278
1986	65	6,400,061	12,483,365	192,793	11,146	299,878	10,262
1987	63	6,598,340	12,594,219	200,705	11,275	317,113	10,705
1988	61	7,390,796	13,602,292	223,906	12,134	318,657	10,764
1989	63	7,467,328	13,165,654	208,979	11,682	323,899	10,861
1990	64	7,625,593	12,932,232	201,280	11,424	328,064	10,969
1991	61	8,457,142	13,755,682	227,367	11,941	329,548	10,907
1992	62	7,874,660	12,473,534	202,822	10,517	330,022	11,002
1993	63	8,037,652	12,404,202	197,677	10,218	330,326	11,139
1994	65	8,595,965	12,982,810	201,284	10,538	337,328	11,380
1995	67	8,733,607	12,906,282	192,631	10,276	344,346	11,610
1996	76	9,138,491	13,243,483	173,685	10,290	345,320	11,868
1997	79	10,303,549	14,622,086	186,269	11,086	363,023	12,063
1998	82	10,166,447	14,269,214	174,015	10,585	365,431	12,273
1999	88	9,314,920	12,948,955	147,566	9,424	391,075	12,787
2000	85	9,800,543	13,341,848	157,426	9,516	398,544	12,846
2001	84	9,969,911	13,251,145	158,223	9,306	399,420	12,884
2002	86	10,002,185	13,130,748	153,576	9,176	400,827	12,732
2003	82	9,773,243	12,588,587	153,519	8,822	418,647	12,584
2004	75	9,454,529	11,937,108	159,694	8,383	426,018	12,464
2005	71	8,436,367	10,378,282	147,210	7,288	424,322	12,391
2006	76	8,187,169	9,769,091	128,965	6,860	435,494	12,483
2007	77	8,003,305	9,337,492	121,661	6,562	427,394	12,344
2008	81	9,494,271	10,747,614	133,097	7,563	428,039	12,453
2009**	81	9,794,344	10,953,614	136,070	7,725	419,687	12,408
2010	81	8,942,017	9,898,633	122,584	7,005	403,123	11,626
2011	83	9,950,347	10,824,404	130,809	7,655	418,182	11,709
2012	87	11,280,874	11,979,551	138,492	8,442	426,547	11,951
2013	87	11,661,730	12,197,067	140,600	8,583	421,047	11,809
2014	84	12,815,518	13,216,782	158,285	9,255	437,791	11,935
2015	85	13,787,317	14,098,930	165,870	9,839	455,460	12,331
2016	85	14,562,868	14,793,574	174,042	10,331	462,131	12,490
2017	82	13,928,564	13,928,564	170,903	9,706	461,850	12,413

### Sales by Business Group

Areas of strength or weakness in the local retail sector may be revealed through a comparative analysis of sales by specific types of businesses. The following table presents taxable sales statistics by business group for Washington County. **NOTE: Sales** data by business group are not available for individual cities (see Page 23 for more information).

The top section shows the annualized number of reporting firms (average returns filed per quarter), taxable sales, and average sales per firm in 12 types of retail businesses. The bottom section shows sales by business group on a per capita basis. Real averages for the prior 3-year period are provided to identify areas of recent growth or decline. Median values for similar counties and statewide averages for the current fiscal year are also provided for benchmarking purposes. County data are suppressed for business groups that did not meet a minimum threshold for number of reporting firms.

Sales by business group should not be confused with sales by merchandise category. The business group sales data reflect the broad business classification of the firms making the sales, not the specific goods and services that were sold. See Page 15 for a more detailed list of the types of firms included within each business group.


#### Washington County Taxable Sales Summary by Business Group


Total Sales and Average Sales Per Firm	Washington County FY17 Totals		Average So	ales Per Firm (\$)
		Reporting	Washington	State of
Type of Firm	Total Sales (\$)	Firms	County	Iowa
Apparel Stores				660,275
Building Materials Stores	10,641,546	14	773,931	2,010,762
Eating and Drinking Establishments	16,911,161	49	348,684	560,719
Food Stores (excluding non-taxable food items)	24,315,640	30	810,521	1,116,757
General Merchandise Stores				6,099,265
Home Furnishings Stores	5,103,608	12	434,350	854,259
Specialty Retail Stores	12,204,483	139	87,644	218,297
Service Establishments	37,657,807	300	125,631	169,522
Miscellaneous Retail Firms	26,331,294	135	195,408	250,669
Automotive and Related Stores	11,334,398	16	719,644	824,332
Utilities and Transportation Services	6,641,792	24	282,629	1,206,482
Retail Sales by Wholesale Firms	22,877,198	46	494,642	907,719

Real Sales Per Capita (\$)	<b>Washington County Trends</b>		FY17 Be	nchmark Values
	prior 3-year average		Metropolitan	State of
Type of Firm	FY14 - FY16	FY17	Median	lowa
Apparel Stores			361	327
Building Materials Stores	506	476	515	912
Eating and Drinking Establishments	722	756	983	1,372
Food Stores (excluding non-taxable food items)	1,086	1,087	986	1,122
General Merchandise Stores			1,719	1,490
Home Furnishings Stores	210	228	247	391
Specialty Retail Stores	530	546	620	982
Service Establishments	1,683	1,684	1,561	1,724
Miscellaneous Retail Firms	1,173	1,177	738	995
Automotive and Related Stores	517	507	533	607
Utilities and Transportation Services	270	297	820	1,252
Retail Sales by Wholesale Firms	1,030	1,023	935	1,239

#### Per Capita Sales by Business Group


The chart below compares per capita sales by business group in Washington County with the median value for all 21 metropolitan counties in Iowa (see table on previous page for underlying data). Washington County per capita values are shown with red dots. The metropolitan median values appear as blue dashes. County data are suppressed for any business groups that did not meet a minimum threshold for number of reporting firms.





### Distribution of Taxable Sales by Business Group

The following charts illustrate the percentage distribution of Washington County and statewide total taxable sales across the major retail business groups. County data are suppressed for any business groups that did not meet a minimum threshold for number of reporting firms. Sales in suppressed categories are aggregated into a single percentage value and labeled with an asterisk (\*).



### Statewide Average Per Capita Sales by Detailed Business Type, FY 2017

#### Business Type and Per Capita Sales (\$)

Apparel Group	\$327	Services Group	\$1,724
Clothing and Clothing Accessories Stores	278	Auto Repair	347
Shoe Stores	48	Hotels and All Other Lodging Places	309
Shoc Stores		Other Business Services	225
Automotive and Related Firms	\$607	Arts and Entertainment	196
New and Used Car Dealers	306	Beauty/Barber Shops	131
Automotive Parts and Accessories	216	Miscellaneous Repairs	107
Recreational and All Other Motorized Vehicles	85	Other Personal Services	82
Recreational and All Other Motorized Vehicles	83	Auto Rental and Storage	60
Puilding Metarials Croup	¢012	· ·	50
Building Materials Group	\$912	Motion Picture and Video Industries	
Building Material Dealers	665	Laundry and Floor Cleaning	41
Hardware Stores	127	Finance, Insurance, Real Estate and Leasing	40
Garden Supply Stores	82	Electronic and Precision Equipment Repair & Maintenance	38
Paint and Glass Stores	36	Other Services	29
Mobile Home Dealers	2	Funeral Service and Crematories	22
	4	Education and Athletic Events	20
Eating and Drinking Places Group	\$1,372	Photographic Studios	14
Restaurants, Taverns, and Bars	1,372	Employment Services	10
		Upholstery and Furniture Repair	2
Food Dealers Group	\$1,122	Watch, Clock, Jewelry Repair	0
Grocery Stores and Convenience Stores	563	Footwear and Leather Repair	0
Gas Stations/Convenience Stores With Gas	542		
Specialized Groceries	17	Miscellaneous Group	\$995
		Plumbing and Heating Contractors	151
General Merchandise Group	\$1,490	General Contractors	141
Department Stores	955	Agricultural Production and Services	136
Miscellaneous Merchandise Stores	530	Other Special Trade Contractors	107
Variety Stores	5	Industrial Equipment Manufacturers	92
		Miscellaneous Manufacturers	56
Home Furnishings And Appliances Group	\$391	Food Manufacturers	55
Appliances and Entertainment Equipment	150	Electrical Contractors	54
Furniture Stores	143	Non-Metallic Product Manufacturers	54
Home Furnishing Stores	98	Furniture, Wood and Paper Manufacturers	38
		Publishers Of Books & Newspapers and Commercial Printers	33
Specialty Retail Stores Group	\$982	Carpentry Contractors	27
Other Specialty	302	Unclassified	25
Sporting Goods	176	Mining	13
Beauty and Health (Includes Pharmacies & Drug Stores)	166	Painting Contractors	11
Direct Sellers	70	Apparel and Textile Manufacturers	1
Hobby and Toy	61		
Jewelry	56	Wholesale Goods Group	\$1,239
Book and Stationery Stores	42	(retail sales by wholesale firms)	1,239
Used Merchandise Stores	25		
Stationery, Gift, Novelty	25	Utilities and Transportation Group	\$1,252
Vending Machine Operators	21	Electric and Gas	502
Liquor Stores	18	Communications	481
Florists	14	Water and Sanitation	202
Fuel and Ice Dealers	1	Transportation and Warehousing	67
Electronic Shopping and Mail Order Houses	1	,	
	-	All Business Groups	\$12,413
			+ - <b>-</b> , ·

### **Consumer Characteristics**

# U.S. Consumer Spending Patterns by Income and Age

Consumer spending patterns vary with age, income level, and other consumer characteristics. The chart at right illustrates differences in U.S. consumer spending on a selected bundle of goods and services that are taxable in Iowa: food away from home, telecommunications services, household supplies and furnishings, apparel, entertainment, automobile repair and maintenance, and personal services.

In the chart, average annual spending levels of consumers within each group are expressed as percentages of the all-consumer average. Differences are most apparent by income level, with persons in the highest household income quintile spending more than twice the average of persons in the lowest income quintile. Per person spending also tends to increase with householder age. Spending is lower on average in rural households than urban households.


#### Local Income and Age Distributions

Recent county-level statistics may be used to profile the income and age distributions of area residents. If the county deviates strongly from statewide averages on these measures, one might expect some differences in local residents' spending compared to the average spending levels by all Iowa residents.

The table at right shows the county's median household income level and estimated poverty rate compared to the state. A lower median income level, a higher poverty rate, or both suggest that the percentage of county residents in low income brackets exceeds the statewide average. In these cases, comparatively lower retail spending levels may be anticipated locally.

The bottom half of the table illustrates the percentage distribution of the county's population by age group in years, relative to the comparable statewide percentages. Strong differences in the regional age distribution likely affect both the mix and levels of retail goods and services demanded by area residents.

# U.S. Consumer Spending on Selected Goods and Services That are Taxable in Iowa, by Type of Consumer



#### **Washington County Profile**

Median Household Income (\$)	Washington		State of Iowa
Estimate	57,315	<b>•</b>	56,354
90% Confidence Interval	53,100 - 61,530		55,680 - 57,030

Poverty Rate (%)	Washington		State of Iowa
Estimate	9.9	<b>4</b>	11.7
90% Confidence Interval	8.0 - 11.8		11.4 - 12.0

Population (% of total)	Washington		State of Iowa
Under 5 years	7.2%	<b>•</b>	6.4%
Age 5 to 17	17.9%	<b>•</b>	16.9%
Age 18 to 24	7.5%	◀	10.3%
Age 25 to 44	22.0%	◀	24.3%
Age 45 to 64	26.5%	<b>•</b>	25.7%
Age 65 years and over	18.9%	<b>•</b>	16.4%
Median age	40.7	<b>•</b>	38.0


Higher than stateLower than state

# Other Factors Influencing Retail Sales

#### Inflation

The rate of inflation measures changes over time in the purchasing power of the dollar. When price levels rise faster than earnings and other income, consumers may have to reduce or reallocate their spending.

The pace of U.S. inflation during the last 10 years is illustrated at right. This chart shows quarterly changes in the Midwest Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, using first quarter of 2008 as the benchmark period.



#### Consumer Confidence

Consumer confidence refers to how favorably consumers view prospects for the economy and their own financial situation. Pessimism about the economy can have a dampening effect on household discretionary purchases, while optimism can boost the likelihood of purchases.

The chart at right illustrates a quarterly index of consumer confidence benchmarked to the first quarter of 2008. Source data were obtained from the Index of Consumer Sentiment, University of Michigan Surveys of Consumers, via the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.



#### **Internet and Catalog Sales**

E-commerce represents a rapidly-growing share of retail activity in the United States. While presenting a potential sales growth channel for many retailers, e-commerce also poses a threat as yet another source of sales leakage from Iowa's communities.

The chart at right shows the growing share of total U.S. retail sales that are transacted through e-commerce. E-commerce, which includes internet and catalog sales, describes transactions in which an order is placed and/or price and terms of sale are negotiated over an internet or other online system.



#### **Data Notes and Definitions**

#### Iowa's Retail Sales Tax Reporting

The state of Iowa imposes a tax on the gross receipts from sales of taxable tangible personal property and taxable services. In general, merchandise goods are taxable unless specifically exempted and services are taxable if specifically enumerated by the state.

Retailers file sales tax returns to the Iowa Department of Revenue on a semimonthly, monthly, quarterly, or annual basis depending on their amount of sales.

The Department of Revenue compiles the data from sales tax returns and publishes quarterly and annual retail sales tax reports that provide the primary source of data for this report.

Iowa's sales tax reporting process may lead to occasional anomalies in retail sales data reported at the local level. The state compiles these data primarily for fiscal management purposes, and only secondarily for analytical purposes.

Certain accounting and other administrative constraints may result in the under-reporting or no reporting of sales activity for individual communities.

Confidentiality. In order to protect the confidentiality of individual filers, the Iowa Department of Revenue only reports data from localities with a minimum of 10 tax returns filed for a quarter or 40 returns per year. Sales data for localities not meeting this threshold level are reported for the county in which they are located.

Recent changes in the administration of Iowa's sales tax include the following:

July 1, 2004. Iowa revised its sales tax laws to meet Streamlined Sales Tax Project (SSTP) requirements. SSTP improves uniformity in sales tax laws across states, thereby encouraging businesses to collect and remit sales tax in every state in which they make taxable sales.

- January 1, 2006. The tax on certain types of energy was reduced to 0% after a 4-year phased decline.
- July 1, 2008. Iowa's sales tax rate increased from 5% to 6%.
- July 1, 2008. The Iowa Department of Revenue adopted a new fiscal year reporting period to align with the state fiscal year that runs from July 1 through June 30 of each year.
- July 1, 2013. The Iowa Department of Revenue changed the business class assignment for approximately 12 percent of Iowa's retailers.
- July 1, 2013. Taxable sales in the Convenience Stores and Gas Stations business class were reclassified from the Automotive and Related Group to the Food Dealers Group.

#### Notable Exemptions and Exclusions from Iowa's Retail Sales Tax

Many retail transactions, because they are exempt or otherwise excluded from the state's sales tax, are not included in the taxable sales values reported in this report. Following are some notable exemptions from Iowa's sales tax. More detailed documentation is available from the Iowa Department of Revenue.

Exempt or Excluded Goods. Goods that are exempt from the sales tax include certain foods used for home consumption, prescription drugs, and medical devices. Sales of gasoline, subject to a separate fuel tax, are excluded from taxable retail sales. Taxable retail sales also exclude the sale or lease of new or used vehicles that are subject to registration. Vehicle purchases are taxed separately under the state's one-time registration fee.

**Exempt Services.** Unlike tangible goods, services are exempt from tax unless specifically enumerated. Professional

services such as medical and legal services are not subject to the sales tax.

**Utilities.** The state has phased out taxes on sales of metered gas, electricity, and fuel used as energy in residential dwellings, apartment units and condominiums. Specific exemptions may also apply to certain businesses and industries.

Sales to Agriculture, Manufacturing, and Other Industries. The state exempts sales of many goods and services that are used as inputs to agriculture and other industrial processes.

Sales tax exemptions for agriculture apply to the purchase of feed, seed, fertilizer, farm machinery and equipment, fuels and utilities, and some services.

Exemptions to manufacturing include purchases of tangible inputs that become an integral part of manufactured goods ultimately sold at retail; fuels, chemicals, and other inputs that are consumed during production processes; industrial machinery, equipment, and some computer equipment; and many services.

The state has created additional exemptions targeted toward specific industries such as wind energy and information technology. See the Department of Revenue Web site for more detailed information about exempt sales to industry and business.

#### Sales to Tax-Exempt Organizations.

Local and state government entities are exempt from the sales tax. Sales to private nonprofit educational institutions for educational purposes are also exempt. Sales from fund-raising activities are exempt from sales tax if the proceeds are used for educational, religious, or charitable purposes.

#### Cautions for Interpreting Reported Sales Data

Non-Taxable Goods & Services. The sales information presented in this report provides only a partial picture of retail and service sector activity in Iowa's communities, due in part to the data reporting practices and sales tax exemptions listed on the previous page.

Large Public Institutions. The presence of large public institutions such as correctional facilities or universities may distort local sales measures, as their institutional purchases are excluded from taxable sales but their residents are included in local population estimates.

Sales or Service Territories. Some cities' reported sales values may appear inflated if they are home to the business office or headquarters of a firm with a broad, geographically-defined service territory such as a rural telecommunications or cable television provider.

#### **Definitions of Retail Measures**

**Retail Sales**. This term refers to the reported sales of goods and services that are subject to Iowa's retail sales tax.

**Reporting Firms**. This value reflects the average number of tax returns filed each quarter during the year, and it serves as a proxy for the number of local retail firms.

**Real Sales**. "Real" dollar values have been standardized to reflect the purchasing power of a dollar in the current fiscal year, thus removing the effects of price inflation.

**Nominal Sales**. Nominal sales are the dollar amounts reported in the year the transactions actually took place. These values have not been adjusted for inflation.

**Sales Per Firm**. Per firm sales are calculated by dividing the annual dollar value of sales by the average number of reporting firms in that year.

**Sales Per Capita**. Per capita (or "per person") sales are calculated by dividing the dollar value of sales by the estimated population for the subject place, including group quarters residents.

Expected Per Capita Spending. An expected value for residents' average spending on taxable retail goods and services is used in the calculation of trade surplus and leakage, trade area capture, and pull factor values. This measure is sensitive to local income levels. For more information on the derivation of this measure, please contact the author.

**Sales by Business Group**. Sales tabulations by business group describe the types of firms where retail transactions occurred. They do *not* describe the type of merchandise that was sold.

#### Other Data Notes

City-to-County Assignments: The incorporated territory of many Iowa cities crosses the boundaries of two or more counties. For this report, all cities are assigned to the county that contained the greatest percentage of its population in the 2010 Census.

Commuting Flows: Local Employment Dynamics Program, U.S. Census Bureau. These commuting flows describe the place of work and place of residence of wage and salary workers in 2015. Self-employed individuals such as sole proprietors and partners are excluded from these data.

#### Consumer Spending Patterns:

Consumer Expenditure Survey, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Consumer Sentiment: Surveys of Consumers, University of Michigan, University of Michigan: Consumer Sentiment©, retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis https://research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/UMCSENT, 03/01/18.

E-commerce Sales: US. Bureau of the Census, E-Commerce Retail Sales as a Percent of Total Sales, retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis https://research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/ECOMPCTSA, 03/01/18.

**Employment**: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (annual) and U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (monthly). Employment includes full-time and part-time wage and salary jobs, with all jobs counted equally.

Household Income and Poverty: Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, U.S. Census Bureau.

Inflation Rate: Midwest Region Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, All Items, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

**Average Wages and Salaries per Job**: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Population: Iowa State University estimates, based on data released through the Population Estimates Program, U.S. Census Bureau. With each annual data release, the U.S. Census Bureau may revise its estimates from prior years. This report incorporates the most recently available estimates and revisions. Population-based statistics published in this report may not reconcile with those appearing in earlier retail trade analysis reports. In most cases, the discrepancies are minor.

Price Deflators: Except where otherwise noted in this report, the dollar values for all retail sales and personal income data have been adjusted for inflation using the Implicit Price Deflator for Personal Consumption Expenditures published by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

**Unemployment**: Local Area Unemployment Statistics, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

# Peer Group 1-4 Cities and Their 2010 Census Population Size

	Altoona	14,541	Coralville	18,907	Marion	34,768
	Ames	58,965	Council Bluffs	62,230	North Liberty	13,374
	Ankeny	45,582	Davenport	99,685	Sioux City	82,684
	Bettendorf	33,217	Des Moines	203,433	Urbandale	39,463
	Cedar Falls	39,260	Dubuque	57,637	Waterloo	68,406
		126,326	Iowa City	67,862	Waukee	13,790
	Clive	15,447	Johnston	17,278	West Des Moines	56,609
	CIIVC	13,44/	joinistoii	17,270	West Des Monies	50,009
	Boone	12,661	Indianola	14.782	Oskaloosa	11.462
			Keokuk	14,782	Ottumwa	11,463
•	Burlington	25,663		10,780		25,023
	Carroll	10,103	Marshalltown	27,552	Pella	10,352
4	Clinton	26,885	Mason City	28,079	Spencer	11,233
	Fort Dodge	25,206	Muscatine	22,886	Storm Lake	10,600
	Fort Madison	11,051	Newton	15,254		
	Albia	3,766	Fairfield	9,464	Onawa	2,998
	Algona	5,560	Forest City	4,151	Orange City	6,004
	Atlantic	7,112	Garner	3,129	Osage	3,619
	Bloomfield	2,640	Grinnell	9,218	Osceola	4,929
	Camanche	4,448	Hampton	4,461	Red Oak	5,742
	Centerville	5,528	Harlan	5,106	Rock Rapids	2,549
	Chariton	4,321	Hawarden	2,546	Rock Valley	3,354
	Charles City	7,652	Humboldt	4,690	Sheldon	5,188
	Cherokee	5,253	Independence	5,966	Shenandoah	5,150
	Clarinda	5,572	Iowa Falls	5,238	Sibley	2,798
2	Clarion	2,850	Jefferson	4,345	Sioux Center	7,048
	Clear Lake	7,777	Jesup	2,520	Spirit Lake	4,840
	Cresco	3,868	Knoxville	7,313	Tama	2,877
	Creston	7,834	Madrid	<sup>2</sup> ,543	Tipton	3,221
	Decorah	8,127	Manchester	5,179	Waukon	3,897
	Denison	8,298	Maquoketa	6,141	Webster City	8,070
	De Witt	5,322	Marengo	2,528	West Burlington	2,968
	Eagle Grove	3,583	Milford	2,898	West Liberty	3,736
	Eldora	2,732	Mount Pleasant	8,668	Williamsburg	3,068
	Emmetsburg	3,904	New Hampton	3,571	Wilton	2,802
	Estherville	6,360	Oelwein	6,415		
				0 1		
	Adel	3,682	Grimes	8,246	Perry	7,702
	Anamosa	5,533	Grundy Center	2,706	Pleasant Hill	8,785
	Asbury	4,170	Hiawatha	7,024	Polk City	3,418
	Belle Plaine	<sup>2</sup> ,534	Huxley	3,317	Robins	3,142
	Bondurant	3,860	Le Claire	3,765	Sergeant Bluff	4,227
	Carlisle	3,876	Le Mars	9,826	Story City	3,431
4-	Carter Lake	3,785	Missouri Valley	2,838	Vinton	5,257
	Dyersville	4,058	Monticello	3,796	Washington	7,266
	Eldridge	5,651	Mount Vernon	4,506	Waverly	9,874
	Evansdale		Nevada		Windsor Heights	
		4,751 5.260		6,798		4,860
	Glenwood	5,269	Norwalk	8,945	Winterset	5,190

# Peer Group 5 Cities and Their 2010 Census Population Size

	Agency	638	Dows	538	Latimer	507	Pocahontas	1,789
	Albert City	699	Early	557	Laurens	1,258	Pomeroy	662
	Allerton	501	Eddyville	1,024	Lenox	1,407	Primghar	909
	Alta	1,883	Eldon	927	Leon	1,977	Riceville	785
	Alton	1,216	Everly	603	Lovilia	538	Rockford	86o
	Armstrong	926	Farmington	664	Manilla	776	Rockwell	1,039
	Arnolds Park	1,126	Fonda	631	Manly	1,323	Rockwell City	1,709
	Badger	561	Fremont	743	Manning	1,500	Rolfe	584
	Bancroft	732	Gilmore City	504	Manson	1,690	Ruthven	737
	Bedford	1,440	Glidden	1,146	Mediapolis	1,560	Sac City	2,220
	Belmond	2,376	Goldfield	635	Montezuma	1,462	St. Ansgar	1,107
-NI	Boyden	707	Gowrie	1,037	Montrose	898	Sanborn	1,404
	Britt	2,069	Graettinger	844	Moravia	665	Schaller	772
51N	Brooklyn	1,468	Hartley	1,672	Moulton	605	Schleswig	882
	Buffalo Center	905	Hospers	698	Mount Ayr	1,691	Seymour	701
	Burt	533	Hull	2,175	Newell	876	Sheffield	1,172
	Calmar	978	Ireton	609	New Sharon	1,293	Sioux Rapids	775
	Charter Oak	502	Kanawha	652	Nora Springs	1,431	Sutherland	649
	Coon Rapids	1,305	Keosauqua	1,006	Northwood	1,989	Swea City	536
	Corning	1,635	Klemme	507	Odebolt	1,013	Thompson	502
	Corydon	1,585	Lake City	1,727	Okoboji	807	Ventura	717
	Dakota City	843	Lake Mills	2,100	Orleans	608	Wall Lake	819
	Danville	934	Lake Park	1,105	Ossian	845	West Bend	785
	Dayton	837	Lakeside	596	Otho	542	West Point	966
	Donnellson	912	Lake View	1,142	Paullina	1,056	Whittemore	
	Dow City	510	Lamoni	2,324	i ddiiiid	1,050	winetemore	504
	Dow City	)10	Editioni	2,324				
	A 11	0	Г	0	V.		D 11:00	
	Ackley	1,589	Essex	798	Keota	1,009	Radcliffe	545
	Adair	781	Exira	840	Lansing	999	Richland	584
	Afton	845	Fairbank	1,113	Larchwood	866	Russell	554
	Albion	505	Fayette	1,338	Le Grand	938	Sabula	576
	Alden	787	Fontanelle	672	Lime Springs	505	Scranton	557
	Allison	1,029	Fredericksburg	931	Lowden	789	Shelby	641
	Anita	972	Fruitland	977	McGregor	871	Shell Rock	1,296
	Aplington	1,128	Garnavillo	745	Mapleton	1,224	Sidney	1,138
	Audubon	2,176	Garwin	527	Marcus	1,117	Sigourney	2,059
	Aurelia	1,036	George	1,080	Maynard	518	Stanton	689
	Battle Creek	713	Gilman	509	Mechanicsville	1,146	Stanwood	684
	Baxter	1,101	Gladbrook	945	Melbourne	830	State Center	1,468
	Bellevue	2,191	Grand Junction	824	Melcher-Dallas	1,288	Stratford	743
	Clarence	974	Grand Mound	642	Monona	1,549	Strawberry Point	1,279
	Clarksville	1,439	Grandview	556	Monroe	1,830	Sully	821
	Clermont	632	Greene	1,130	Morning Sun	836	Tabor	1,040
	Colfax	2,093	Greenfield	1,982	Murray	756	Toledo	2,341
	Columbus Junction.	1,899	Griswold	1,036	Nashua	1,663	Traer	1,703
	Delmar	525	Guttenberg	1,919	New Albin	522	Victor	893
	Doon	577	Hamburg	1,187	New Hartford	516	Villisca	1,252
	Dumont	637	Hazleton	823	New London	1,897	Wapello	2,067
	Durant	1,832	Hedrick	764	North English	1,041	Wayland	966
	Dysart	1,379	Holstein	1,396	Ogden	2,044	West Branch	2,322
	Earlville	812	Hopkinton	628	Parkersburg	1,870	West Union	2,486
	Edgewood	864	Hubbard	845	Pleasantville	1,694	What Cheer	646
	Elgin	683	Ida Grove	2,142	Postville	2,227	Wheatland	764
	Elkader		Inwood	814	Prairie City	1,680	Whiting	
	Elk Horn	1,273 662	Jewell Junction		Preston		Winfield	762
	Ellsworth			1,215		1,012	Winthrop	1,134 850
	Elma	531 546	Kellogg	599	Quasqueton	554	vv 11111110p	850
	- Dillid	540						

# Peer Group 6-7 Cities and Their 2010 Census Population Size

	Ainsworth, 567	Denver, 1,780	Janesville, 930	Olin, 698	Stuart, 1,648
	Akron, 1,486	De Soto, 1,050	Kalona, 2,363	Oxford, 807	Sumner, 2,028
	Alburnett, 673	Dexter, 611	Keystone, 622	Palo, 1,026	Swisher, 879
	Anthon, 565	Dike, 1,209	Kingsley, 1,411	Panora, 1,124	Tiffin, 1,947
	Atkins, 1,670	Dunkerton, 852	La Porte City, 2,285	Peosta, 1,377	Treynor, 919
	Avoca, 1,506	Dunlap, 1,042	Lawton, 908	Princeton, 886	Tripoli, 1,313
	Blairstown, 692	Earlham, 1,450	Lisbon, 2,152	Raymond, 788	Underwood, 917
	Blue Grass, 1,452	Elkhart, 683	Logan, 1,534	Readlyn, 808	University Heights, 1,051
	Brighton, 652	Elk Run Heights, 1,117	Lone Tree, 1,300	Redfield, 835	Urbana, 1,458
	Buffalo, 1,270	Ely, 1,776	Long Grove, 808	Reinbeck, 1,664	Van Horne, 682
6	Cambridge, 827	Epworth, 1,860	Malvern, 1,142	Remsen, 1,663	Van Meter, 1,016
	Carson, 812	Fairfax, 2,123	Maxwell, 920	Riverside, 993	Walcott, 1,629
	Cascade, 2,159	Farley, 1,537	Merrill, 755	Roland, 1,284	Walford, 1,463
	Center Point, 2,421	Gilbert, 1,082	Milo, 775	Runnells, 507	Walker, 791
	Central City, 1,257	Gilbertville, 712	Minden, 599	St. Charles, 653	Walnut, 785
	Coggon, 658	Granger, 1,244	Mitchellville, 2,254	Shellsburg, 983	Wellman, 1,408
	Colo, 876	Guthrie Center, 1,569	Moville, 1,618	Shueyville, 577	Wellsburg, 707
	Conrad, 1,108	Hartford, 771	Neola, 842	Slater, 1,489	Woodbine, 1,459
	Correctionville, 821	Hills, 703	Newhall, 875	Sloan, 973	Woodward, 1,024
	Crescent, 617	Hinton, 928		Solon, 2,037	Wyoming, 515
	′ ′		Norway, 545		
	Dallas Center, 1,623	Hudson, 2,282	Oakland, 1,527	Springville, 1,074	Zearing, 554
	Alleman, 432	Crawfordsville, 264	Ionia, 291	Mallard, 274	Rhodes, 305
	Alta Vista, 266	Crystal Lake, 250	Irwin, 341	Marble Rock, 307	Ridgeway, 315
	Andrew, 434	Cumberland, 262	Kellerton, 315	Marquette, 375	Ringsted, 422
	Arcadia, 484	Cumming, 351	Kelley, 309	Martelle, 255	Rippey, 292
	Arlington, 429	Danbury, 348	Kensett, 266	Martensdale, 465	Riverdale, 405
	Ashton, 458	Dedham, 266	Kimballton, 322	Massena, 355	Riverton, 304
	Atalissa, 311	Deep River, 279	Kiron, 279	Maurice, 275	Rowley, 264
	Auburn, 322	Defiance, 284	Lacona, 361	Menlo, 353	Royal, 446
	Bagley, 303	Delhi, 460	Ladora, 283	Meservey, 256	Rudd, 369
	Batavia, 499	Deloit, 264	Lakota, 255	Middletown, 318	Ryan, 361
	Bayard, 471	Delta, 328	Lamont, 461	Miles, 445	Salem, 383
	Beacon, 494	Diagonal, 330	La Motte, 260	Milton, 443	Salix, 363
	Bennett, 405	Donahue, 346	Lawler, 439	Minburn, 365	Sheldahl, 319
	Bertram, 294	Duncombe, 410	Lehigh, 416	Mingo, 302	Spillville, 367
	Birmingham, 448	Earling, 437	Leland, 289	Modale, 283	Stacyville, 494
	Blakesburg, 296	Elliott, 350	Lester, 294	Mondamin, 402	Stanhope, 422
	Bode, 302	Emerson, 438	Letts, 384	Mystic, 425	Steamboat Rock, 310
	Bonaparte, 433	Farmersburg, 302	Lewis, 433	New Market, 415	Stockport, 296
	Brandon, 309	Farnhamville, 371	Libertyville, 315	New Vienna, 407	Templeton, 362
	Breda, 483	Farragut, 485	Liscomb, 301	New Virginia, 489	Terril, 367
	Bronson, 322	Fenton, 279	Little Rock, 459	Nichols, 374	Thornton, 422
	Bussey, 422	Fertile, 370	Livermore, 384	Ocheyedan, 490	Titonka, 476
	Calamus, 439	Floyd, 335	Lockridge, 268	Orient, 408	Truro, 485
	Callender, 376	Fort Atkinson, 349	Lohrville, 368	Oxford Junction, 496	Union, 397
	Casey, 426	Galva, 434	Lorimor, 360	Pacific Junction, 471	University Park, 487
	Charlotte, 394	Garrison, 371	Lost Nation, 446	Persia, 319	Ute, 374
		= -	Low Moor, 288	Peterson, 334	Vail, 436
	Chelsea, 267	Granton, 252			
		Grafton, 252 Granville, 312		Pierson, 366	Wadena, 262
	Churdan, 386	Granville, 312	Luana, 269	Pierson, 366 Pisgah, 251	Wadena, 262 Wahpeton, 341
	Churdan, 386 Cincinnati, 357	Granville, 312 Greeley, 256	Luana, 269 Lu Verne, 261	Pisgah, 251	Wahpeton, 341
	Churdan, 386 Cincinnati, 357 Clearfield, 363	Granville, 312 Greeley, 256 Harcourt, 303	Luana, 269 Lu Verne, 261 Lynnville, 379	Pisgah, 251 Plainfield, 436	Wahpeton, 341 Waucoma, 257
	Churdan, 386 Cincinnati, 357 Clearfield, 363 Colesburg, 404	Granville, 312 Greeley, 256 Harcourt, 303 Harpers Ferry, 328	Luana, 269 Lu Verne, 261 Lynnville, 379 Lytton, 315	Pisgah, 251 Plainfield, 436 Plymouth, 382	Wahpeton, 341 Waucoma, 257 Wesley, 390
	Churdan, 386 Cincinnati, 357 Clearfield, 363 Colesburg, 404 Collins, 495	Granville, 312 Greeley, 256 Harcourt, 303 Harpers Ferry, 328 Hawkeye, 449	Luana, 269 Lu Verne, 261 Lynnville, 379 Lytton, 315 McCallsburg, 333	Pisgah, 251 Plainfield, 436 Plymouth, 382 Prescott, 257	Wahpeton, 341 Waucoma, 257 Wesley, 390 West Okoboji, 289
	Churdan, 386 Cincinnati, 357 Clearfield, 363 Colesburg, 404 Collins, 495 Columbus City, 391	Granville, 312 Greeley, 256 Harcourt, 303 Harpers Ferry, 328 Hawkeye, 449 Holland, 282	Luana, 269 Lu Verne, 261 Lynnville, 379 Lytton, 315 McCallsburg, 333 McCausland, 291	Pisgah, 251 Plainfield, 436 Plymouth, 382 Prescott, 257 Protivin, 283	Wahpeton, 341 Waucoma, 257 Wesley, 390 West Okoboji, 289 Westside, 299
	Churdan, 386 Cincinnati, 357 Clearfield, 363 Colesburg, 404 Collins, 495	Granville, 312 Greeley, 256 Harcourt, 303 Harpers Ferry, 328 Hawkeye, 449	Luana, 269 Lu Verne, 261 Lynnville, 379 Lytton, 315 McCallsburg, 333	Pisgah, 251 Plainfield, 436 Plymouth, 382 Prescott, 257 Protivin, 283	Wahpeton, 341 Waucoma, 257 Wesley, 390 West Okoboji, 289

# Iowa State University Department of Economics

For more information about this report, please contact:

Liesl Eathington Phone: 515-294-2954 Fax: 515-294-0221 E-mail: leathing@iastate.edu

> 175 Heady Hall Iowa State University Ames, Iowa 50011

Find these retail reports, along with other economic and demographic profiles for Iowa's communities, online at:

#### www.icip.iastate.edu



#### Frequently-Asked Questions

Following are some of the most frequently-asked questions about the content of this report:

What happened to the detailed business group sales data for cities? Long-time users of the Iowa State University (ISU) Retail Trade Analysis reports may notice the absence of city-level sales data by type of business. Beginning in Fiscal Year 2009, the Iowa Department of Revenue ceased publication of detailed business group data at the individual city level in its Annual Retail Sales and Use Tax Report. As a consequence, the ISU Retail Trade Analysis reports now provide analysis of business group sales at the county and state levels only. Subject to strict disclosure limitations, the Iowa Department of Revenue may provide detailed categorical sales data for individual cities upon request.

Why do historical data in this report differ from previously-published ISU retail reports? The underlying population and income data used in this report are subject to backward revision by the U.S. Census Bureau and sister agencies, meaning that historical data are revised as new information becomes available. Any revisions to population and income estimates may result in re-statement of per capita retail sales, pull factors, and related measures for prior years. This report incorporates the most recently-revised statistics, and no effort is made to reconcile the historical data with prior versions of the ISU Retail Trade Analysis reports.

Are the retail sales statistics fully comparable over time? Users should note that retail statistics in this report describe only taxable, not total, retail sales. Changes to Iowa's sales tax laws have redefined the mix of goods and services included within taxable sales transactions over time. Changes in sales tax reporting practices may also complicate analysis of historical trends at the local or statewide level. Notable recent changes include the following:

- Iowa Department of Revenue reassigned more than 10 percent of Iowa's retailers to different business class codes that better reflect their business focus (FY 2014).
- Iowa Department of Revenue reclassified gasoline stations with convenience stores from the automotive and related group to the food dealers group (FY 2014).

These reclassifications should be noted when comparing sales by business group before and after FY 2014.

Are the pull factors and other retail measures adjusted for differences in local income? Yes. In calculating local pull factor ratios and estimating trade surplus/leakage values, this report incorporates small area income data available from the American Community Survey (ACS), U.S. Census Bureau. The ACS income estimates are spatially-smoothed, then used to derive pull factor and related retail measures that account for variations in local income levels. Contact the author for more detailed information about the methodologies used for income adjustments.

#### Acknowledgements

For more than three decades, Iowa State University has provided analysis and outreach services to describe retail trade patterns in Iowa's cities and counties. In producing this report, we acknowledge the pioneering work of Kenneth E. Stone, now Professor Emeritus, in applied community retail trade analysis.

This project was supported with funding from the Iowa Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station, the research program directed by the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences at Iowa State University.

Iowa State University does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, age, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, gender identity, sex, marital status, disability, or status as a U.S. veteran. Inquiries can be directed to the Director of Equal Opportunity and Diversity, 3680 Beardshear Hall, (515) 294-7612.